

of their children, which improves women's health and reduces rates of infant mortality.

Medicaid family planning is also cost effective. For every \$1 invested in family planning, \$3 are saved in pregnancy and health care-related costs.

Family planning and reproductive health services are much more than just accessing contraceptives. Services provided include screening and treatment for sexually transmitted diseases and HIV, basic infertility services and pregnancy testing and counseling. Women can receive pap smears and breast exams, which are crucial to detecting cervical and breast cancer.

Low income women deserve access to family planning and reproductive health services. And States should not have to ask the Federal Government for permission to use Medicaid funds to provide these essential services.

We can afford to shut the door on those who cannot otherwise afford family planning and reproductive health services.

I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this important legislation.

By Ms. MURKOWSKI:

S. 1430. A bill to direct the Secretary of the Interior to conduct a study of the Baranov Museum in Kodiak, Alaska, for potential inclusion in the National Park System; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

Ms. MURKOWSKI. Mr. President, the Erskine House in Kodiak, AK, which houses the Baranov Museum, is one of a very few Russian period structures remaining in the Western Hemisphere. It is of great historical significance not only for this reason, but also because it is the only surviving structure known to have been associated with both the Russian America Company and the Alaska Commercial Company, the pillars of Russian and early American administration of Alaska.

The Erskine House/Baranov Museum is owned by the City of Kodiak and operated by the Kodiak Historical Society. It is a popular visitor attraction in Kodiak. Its collections include artifacts from the Russian American Company and the Alaska Commercial Company and also include Alaska Native, Russian and other cultural exhibits. I am told that the structure, although it has had many owners, maintains much of its original historic integrity.

The Erskine House was designated a National Historic Landmark on June 2, 1962. Shortly thereafter the National Park Service initiated consideration of including this important property in the National Park System. On February 11, 2000, the Department of the Interior formally sought funds from Congress to study the possible inclusion of the Erskine House in the system. The Congress responded by earmarking \$250,000 in fiscal year 2002 appropriations for the Erskine House, some of which could be used to conduct the study and the remainder for preservation and maintenance of the facility.

I am sad to report that the National Park Service has not initiated this study. The National Park Service has indicated that it cannot initiate the study without the express direction of Congress and that congressional intent to do so cannot be inferred from the language of the appropriation. However, the good news is that a sufficient portion of the \$250,000 appropriation remains unexpended and I understand that it is available to be expended on the study. The expenditure of funds on the study will not interfere with plans to spend other portions of the \$250,000 appropriation to rehabilitate the structure. The City of Kodiak and the Kodiak Historical Society have expressed support for the study. What we need is for Congress to authorize the study.

The legislation that I am introducing today would do just that. It directs the Secretary of the Interior to conduct a study of the Erskine House/Baranov Museum for the purpose of determining the suitability and feasibility of designating the museum as a unit of the National Park Service. I would like to see this study proceed with all deliberate speed. Accordingly, the legislation also requires that the Secretary report to appropriate committees of the Congress on the findings of the study and the Secretary's conclusions and recommendations within one year of the date upon which this legislation is enacted.

I want to commend the City of Kodiak and the Kodiak Historical Society for their loving care of this important structure. Perhaps this excerpt, from a July 7, 2003 letter that I received from Stacey Becklund, Director of the Kodiak Historical Society states it best, and I ask unanimous consent that it be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the excerpt from the letter was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

The [Erskine House and the Baranov Museum] are some of Kodiak's most cherished treasures. Both assets have matured through labors and love of staff, volunteers and members of the community. We, at all levels of government and community, will benefit from a thorough and accurate study to assess the future ownership of this structure.

I am privileged to lend my voice to the voices of the people of Kodiak, many of whom believe that this very important historic site is a national treasure, as well as a local one. I hope that this legislation will receive expeditious consideration.

I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 1430

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Baranov Museum Study Act".

SEC. 2. STUDY AND REPORT.

(a) STUDY.—The Secretary of the Interior (referred to in this Act as the "Secretary")

shall conduct a study of the Baranov Museum in Kodiak, Alaska, to determine the suitability and feasibility of designating the museum as a unit of the National Park System.

(b) CRITERIA.—In conducting the study under subsection (a), the Secretary shall use the criteria for the study of areas for potential inclusion in the National Park System under section 8 of Public Law 91-383 (16 U.S.C. 1a-5).

(c) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Resources of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate a report that describes—

(1) the findings of the study; and
(2) any conclusions and recommendations of the Secretary.

(d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated such sums as are necessary to carry out this Act.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 1276. Mr. DODD proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2004, and for other purposes.

SA 1277. Mr. DURBIN proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1278. Mr. COLEMAN (for himself, Mrs. LINCOLN, and Mr. NELSON, of Nebraska) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1279. Mr. FEINGOLD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1280. Mr. KENNEDY (for himself, Mr. AKAKA, Mr. BYRD, Mr. CORZINE, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. LAUTENBERG, Ms. MIKULSKI, Mr. SARBANES, Mr. HARKIN, Mr. LIEBERMAN, Mr. FEINGOLD, and Mrs. MURRAY) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1281. Mr. BYRD proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1282. Mr. KENNEDY (for himself, Mr. AKAKA, Mr. BYRD, Mr. CORZINE, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. LAUTENBERG, Ms. MIKULSKI, Mr. SARBANES, Mr. LIEBERMAN, and Mr. HARKIN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1283. Mr. BYRD (for himself, Mrs. CLINTON, Mr. PRYOR, Mr. LAUTENBERG, Mrs. MURRAY, Mr. CORZINE, Mr. BINGAMAN, Mr. HARKIN, and Ms. CANTWELL) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1284. Mr. HATCH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1285. Mr. STEVENS (for Mr. SANTORUM) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1286. Mr. STEVENS proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1287. Mr. STEVENS (for Mr. ALLARD (for himself, Mr. NELSON, of Florida, Mr. CAMPBELL, and Mr. SESSIONS)) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1288. Mr. STEVENS proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1289. Mr. STEVENS proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1290. Mr. STEVENS (for Mr. KYL) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1291. Mr. STEVENS (for Mr. CHAFEE) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1292. Mr. STEVENS (for Mr. WARNER (for himself, Ms. COLLINS, and Mr. SESSIONS))

proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1293. Mr. STEVENS (for Ms. COLLINS (for himself and Ms. SNOWE)) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1294. Mr. STEVENS (for Mr. NICKLES) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1295. Mr. STEVENS (for Mr. ROBERTS) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1296. Mr. STEVENS (for Mr. VOINOVICH (for himself, Mr. DEWINE, and Mr. BROWNBACK)) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1297. Mr. STEVENS (for Mr. BURNS (for himself, Mr. CONRAD, and Mr. CRAIG)) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1298. Mr. STEVENS (for Mr. CHAMBLISS (for himself, Mr. MILLER, and Mrs. HUTCHISON)) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1299. Mr. STEVENS (for Mr. KENNEDY (for himself, Mr. AKAKA, Mr. BYRD, Mr. CORZINE, Mr. LAUTENBERG, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. SARBANES, Mr. LIEBERMAN, Ms. MIKULSKI, and Mrs. CLINTON)) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1300. Mr. STEVENS (for Mr. HATCH) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1301. Mr. INOUE (for Mrs. FEINSTEIN (for himself, Mr. STEVENS, and Mr. INOUE)) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1302. Mr. INOUE (for Mrs. BOXER) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1303. Mr. INOUE (for Mr. DURBIN) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1304. Mr. INOUE (for Ms. MIKULSKI) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1305. Mr. INOUE (for Ms. MIKULSKI) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1306. Mr. INOUE (for Mr. SCHUMER (for himself and Mrs. CLINTON)) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1307. Mr. INOUE (for Mr. DORGAN) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1308. Mr. INOUE (for Mr. BIDEN) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1309. Mr. INOUE (for Mr. BAYH (for himself and Mr. LUGAR)) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1310. Mr. INOUE proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1311. Mr. INOUE (for Mr. HARKIN) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1312. Mr. INOUE (for Mr. WYDEN (for himself and Mr. BYRD)) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1313. Mr. INOUE (for Mrs. BOXER) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1314. Mr. INOUE (for Mr. BIDEN (for himself, Mr. CARPER, Mr. MILLER, and Mr. CHAMBLISS)) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1315. Mr. INOUE (for Mr. SCHUMER (for himself, Mr. BINGAMAN, and Mrs. MURRAY)) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

SA 1316. Mr. INOUE (for Mr. BYRD (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY)) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, *supra*.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 1276. Mr. DODD proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, mak-

ing appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2004, and for other purposes; as follows:

On page 120, between lines 17 and 18, insert the following:

SEC. 8124. (a) The Secretary of Defense—

(1) shall review—

(A) all contractual offset arrangements to which the policy established under section 2532 of title 10, United States Code, applies that are in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act;

(B) any memoranda of understanding and related agreements to which the limitation in section 2531(c) of such title applies that have been entered into with a country with respect to which such contractual offset arrangements have been entered into and are in effect on such date; and

(C) any waivers granted with respect to a foreign country under section 2534(d)(3) of title 10, United States Code, that are in effect on such date; and

(2) shall determine the effects of the use of such arrangements, memoranda of understanding, and agreements on the effectiveness of buy American requirements provided in law.

(b) The Secretary shall submit a report on the results of the review under subsection (a) to Congress not later than March 1, 2005. The report shall include a discussion of each of the following:

(1) The effects of the contractual offset arrangements on specific subsectors of the industrial base of the United States and what actions have been taken to prevent or ameliorate any serious adverse effects on such subsectors.

(2) The extent, if any, to which the contractual offset arrangements and memoranda of understanding and related agreements have provided for technology transfer that would significantly and adversely affect the defense industrial base of the United States and would result in substantial financial loss to a United States firm.

(3) The extent to which the use of such contractual offset arrangements is consistent with—

(A) the limitation in section 2531(c) of title 10, United States Code, that prohibits implementation of a memorandum of understanding and related agreements if the President, taking into consideration the results of the interagency review, determines that such memorandum of understanding or related agreement has or is likely to have a significant adverse effect on United States industry that outweighs the benefits of entering into or implementing such memorandum or agreement; and

(B) the requirements under section 2534(d) of such title that—

(i) a waiver granted under such section not impede cooperative programs entered into between the Department of Defense and a foreign country and not impede the reciprocal procurement of defense items that is entered into in accordance with section 2531 of such title; and

(ii) the country with respect to which the waiver is granted not discriminate against defense items produced in the United States to a greater degree than the United States discriminates against defense items produced in that country.

(c) The Secretary—

(1) shall submit to the President any recommendations regarding the use or administration of contractual offset arrangements and memoranda of understanding and related agreements referred to in subsection (a) that the Secretary considers appropriate to strengthen the administration buy American requirements in law; and

(2) may modify memoranda of understanding or related agreements entered into under section 2531 of title 10, United States Code, or take other action with regard to such memoranda or related agreements, as the Secretary considers appropriate to strengthen the administration buy American requirements in law in the case of procurements covered by such memoranda or related agreements.

SA 1277. Mr. DURBIN proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 2658, making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2004, and for other purposes; as follows:

Insert after section 8123 the following:

SEC. 8124. (a) LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF CERTAIN FUNDS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, of the amount appropriated by title VII of the Act under the heading "INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT", \$50,000,000 may only be obligated after the President submits to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on the role of Executive branch policymakers in the development and use of intelligence relating to Iraq and Operation Iraqi Freedom, including intelligence on—

(1) the possession by Iraq of chemical, biological, and nuclear weapons, and the locations of such weapons;

(2) the links of the former Iraq regime to Al Qaeda;

(3) the attempts of Iraq to acquire uranium from Africa;

(4) the attempts of Iraq to procure aluminum tubes for the development of nuclear weapons;

(5) the possession by Iraq of mobile laboratories for the production of weapons of mass destruction;

(6) the possession by Iraq of delivery systems for weapons of mass destruction; and

(7) any other matters that bear on the imminence of the threat from Iraq to the national security of the United States.

(b) ADDITIONAL MATTERS ON URANIUM CLAIM.—The report on the matters specified in subsection (a)(3) shall also include information on which personnel of the Executive Office of the President, including the staff of the National Security Council, were involved in preparing, vetting, and approving, in consultation with the intelligence community, the statement contained in the 2003 State of the Union address of the President on the efforts of Iraq to obtain uranium from Africa, including the roles such personnel played in the drafting and ultimate approval of the statement, the full range of responses such personnel received from the intelligence community, and which personnel ultimately approved the statement.

(c) APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.—In this section, the term "appropriate committees of Congress" means—

(1) the Committees on Appropriations, Armed Services, and Foreign Relations and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and

(2) the Committees on Appropriations, Armed Services, and International Relations and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.

SA 1278. Mr. COLEMAN (for himself, Mrs. LINCOLN, and Mr. NELSON of Nebraska) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 2658, making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2004, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows: